REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed May 7, 2008, in which claims 1-9 and 11-16 were reviewed, the following issues were raised:

- 1. Claims 1-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,978,841 to Berger;
- 2. Claims 9 and 16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Berger in view of the knowledge of one skilled in the art; and
 - 3. Claims 11-15 were allowed.

In the interest of moving the present application toward allowance, Applicant cancelled claims 1-9 and 16 without prejudice. Applicant reserves the right to file such claims in a continuation application. Claims 11-15 remain active in the application.

In view of the amendments to the claims, Applicant submits that the application is in condition for allowance and such is earnestly solicited.

By:

Respectfully submitted,

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

DATE: <u>June 2, 2008</u>

David M. Morse

Reg. No. 50,505

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP The Nemours Building 1007 North Orange Street P.O. Box 2207 Wilmington, Delaware 19899 (302) 658-9141 (302) 658-5614 (Fax)

614526_1 5